



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

CS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/620,021	07/20/2000	Janusz Rajski	1011-54375	3823

7590 09/25/2003

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN CAMPBELL  
LEIGH & WHINSTON, LLP  
One World Trade Center, Suite 1600  
121 S.W. Salmon Street  
Portland, OR 97204-2988

EXAMINER

CHUNG, PHUNG M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2133

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/620,021	RAJSKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Phung My Chung	2133	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE \_\_\_\_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ .

2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 30-33 and 44-49 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,2,11-14,16-22,29,34-39,50-53 and 55-60 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 3-10,15,23-28,40-43,54 and 58 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_ .
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>14</u> .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Art Unit: 2133

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-2, 11-14, 16-22, 29, 34-39, 50-53 and 55-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rajska et al (Test Data Decompression for Multiple Scan Designs with Boundary Scan) in view of Craft (6,026,508).

As per claims 19-22, 29, 50-53, and 55, Rajska et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed, comprising:

a compressor means for providing a compressed test pattern of bits;  
a decompressor means for decompressing the compressed test pattern into a decompressed test pattern of bits; and

Art Unit: 2133

a means for applying the decompressed test pattern to scan chains of a circuit under test. (See abstract, page 1188 and page 1192, col. 2, lines 10-20). Rajska et al do not specifically disclose decompressing the compressed test pattern as the compressed test pattern is being provided. However, Craft discloses a decompressor for decompressing a compressed data into decompressed data as the compressed data is being provided. (See col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate the decompressor for decompressing the compressed data as the compressed data is being provided to ensure that compression and decompression are all carried out with highest integrity.

As per claims 34-35, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale as set forth in the system claims 19-22, 29, 50-53 and 55 . Further, Craft discloses a storage device (11) adapted to store a set of compressed test patterns of bits.

As per claims 1-2, 11-14, 16-18, 36-39 and 56-57 and 59-60, these method claims are also rejected under the same rationale as set forth in the system claims 19-22, 29, 34-35, 50-53, and 55.

3. <sup>41 - 43</sup> Claims 3-10, 15, 23-28, 40-43, 54, and 58 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. As per claims 30-33, and 44-49 are allowedable.

Art Unit: 2133

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 703-305-9686. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Decady, Albert can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9000.



Phung My Chung  
Primary Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2100